The Starman Variations and the Metaphysics of Sound: David Bowie, the Prosodyne, and the Ontology of Performance

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.17472838

By Steve Sayles

Abstract

The Starman Variations is not merely a volume of reflections on David Bowie's music; it is an experiment in philosophical form. Through the hybrid mode that Sayles names the *prosodyne*, the book converts popular song into a vehicle for metaphysical insight. Each prosodyne fuses rhythm, imagery, and phenomenological description so that sound becomes thought and thought acquires cadence. The text refuses chronology, arranging Bowie's songs as stations in a metaphysical and psychological itinerary — exile, desire, collapse, thirst, and return. This structure mirrors the archetypal movement from fragmentation toward transfiguration, yet expressed through the vocabulary of postmodern culture rather than formal philosophy.

This paper argues that *The Starman Variations* inaugurates a new mode of cultural metaphysics: one that treats popular art not as a reflection of society but as a revelation of identity. Sayles interprets Bowie's shifting personas as ontological experiments through which modern identity rehearses the possibility of transcendence. The *prosodyne* form itself becomes epistemological — an act of knowing through rhythm and image.

Situating Sayles's method alongside phenomenology (Heidegger, Merleau-Ponty), musical semiotics (Adorno, Langer), and apophatic philosophy (Augustine, Pseudo-Dionysius), this study demonstrates how *The Starman Variations* redefines criticism as metaphysical performance. Bowie's oeuvre, read through Sayles, becomes a field where the actual and the synthetic, the personal and the programmatic, converge in one continuing question: *what does it mean to be human in the age of infinite mediation?*

1. Introduction

When Steve Sayles conceived *The Starman Variations*, he sought neither biography nor homage. His aim was to construct a bridge between philosophy and sound — between the metaphysical inheritance of the West and the electric pulse of twentieth-century art. The book's subject, David Bowie, provides a matrix where philosophy, technology, sexuality, and selfhood meet without resolution. Through Bowie, Sayles stages the drama of consciousness under late modernity: a world where meaning is no longer given but performed.

The methodology of *The Starman Variations* diverges sharply from conventional musicology. It does not interpret Bowie's lyrics as texts to be decoded, nor his albums as sociological artefacts. Instead, it regards each song as a phenomenon of being — a moment in which existence reveals itself through rhythm and image.

Sayles's coinage of the term *prosodyne* marks a deliberate act of genre creation. Just as Wittgenstein reinvented philosophy through numbered propositions, and Kierkegaard through pseudonymous parable, Sayles fuses lyricism and analysis into a single meditative gesture. The *prosodyne* becomes a form in which philosophy moves at the speed of music — compressed, elliptical, but charged with intuition.

To read *The Starman Variations* academically is to encounter an unorthodox epistemology. Sayles assumes that truth can be rhythmically perceived — that cognition is not confined to argument but extends to cadence, tone, and metaphor. In this sense, his work belongs to a tradition stretching from Heraclitus to modern phenomenology: the conviction that reality discloses itself in movement. Yet the medium here is distinctively late-modern. Bowie's songs, saturated with media imagery and post-industrial sound, become mirrors in which the modern subject sees both its fragmentation and its desire for wholeness.

This paper therefore asks a twofold question: first, how does *The Starman Variations* transform Bowie's repertoire into a

metaphysical discourse on being, time, and identity? And second, what philosophical innovation does the *prosodyne* represent within contemporary aesthetics? The discussion proceeds thematically rather than chronologically, mirroring Sayles's own structure:

1. the *prosodyne* as epistemological invention;
2. Bowie's art as a metaphysics of becoming;
3. the philosophical and phenomenological dimensions of performance;
4. the cultural architecture of the book's ordering; and
5. the implications for a modern ontology of sound.

The stakes of this inquiry reach beyond Bowie or Sayles. In an age when popular art has supplanted the sermon and the symposium as the medium of shared reflection, *The Starman Variations* models a new kind of scholarship — one that listens as it thinks. It demands that the academy recognise rhythm, gesture, and melody as legitimate modes of philosophical disclosure. The present essay seeks to articulate that demand, tracing how Sayles's fusion of song and metaphysics expands both the language of criticism and the horizon of the soul.

2. The Prosodyne as Epistemological Innovation

When Steve Sayles introduced the term *prosodyne*, he coined more than a stylistic device; he named a new cognitive instrument. The *prosodyne* fuses the sonic pulse of poetry (*prosody*) with the analytical orientation of thought (*reason + dyne*, a generating force). It is not commentary upon song but the transformation of song into philosophy. Sayles writes *with* the music rather than *about* it. Each *prosodyne* therefore functions as what Maurice Merleau-Ponty might have called a phenomenological gesture — a way of knowing through the movement of perception itself.

Traditional criticism assumes that meaning is separable from its medium — that it can be abstracted, paraphrased, or argued. Sayles reverses this assumption. In *The Starman*

Variations, the rhythm of each sentence carries the thought it expresses, as melody carries emotion. Form is not ornament but ontology. To read a *prosodyne* is to participate in an act of cognition that unfolds temporally, cadentially, within the reader's body. This positions Sayles within a lineage of writer-philosophers for whom style is epistemic — Heraclitus's fragments, Pascal's *Pensées*, Nietzsche's aphorisms, Wittgenstein's propositions. Yet the *prosodyne* differs from all these predecessors in that it arises from the encounter between philosophy and recorded sound. It is the first genre deliberately composed for a culture whose metaphysics already vibrate with electricity.

The epistemological claim embedded in the form is radical — that truth may be rhythmic. Where discursive logic proceeds through inference, the *prosodyne* advances by resonance. Its arguments are harmonic rather than syllogistic. Sayles's choice to write about Bowie is therefore not incidental; Bowie's oeuvre itself enacts the oscillation between concept and cadence. By translating this oscillation into prose, Sayles converts listening into thinking. The page becomes a sound-field.

The *prosodyne* also refuses the academic dichotomy between analysis and creation. In the same way that performance art dissolves the border between actor and observer, the *prosodyne* erases the distinction between critic and composer. The author performs the philosophical act through language tuned to musical structure. Each piece possesses its own tempo — some staccato, others elegiac. Syntax becomes measure; paragraphing becomes modulation. The scholar is compelled to hear the argument rather than merely to follow it.

From an epistemological standpoint, this represents a decisive turn from propositional to experiential knowledge. The reader does not learn the concept; the reader undergoes it. In this sense, Sayles anticipates what post-analytic philosophers have recently termed *embodied cognition* — the idea that understanding occurs through sensorimotor resonance rather than abstract representation. Yet Sayles's contribution is not limited to cognitive theory; it is metaphysical. The *prosodyne* implies that being itself is rhythmic — that existence unfolds

not as static substance but as patterned vibration. To know reality, therefore, is to attune oneself to its cadence.

Aesthetically, the *prosodyne* also operates as a corrective to post-structuralist detachment. Where much twentieth-century theory dissected texts into networks of difference, Sayles's writing reintroduces *pathos* — a disciplined lyricism that insists feeling is a mode of knowledge. His sentences breathe with musical phrasing, oscillating between philosophical precision and emotional intensity. The result is neither confession nor critique but what might be termed *ontological lyricism*: the experience of thought singing itself.

In academic terms, the *prosodyne* can be understood as an experiment in metaphorical epistemics — a practice that treats metaphor not as decoration but as methodology. Sayles's metaphors are not explanatory analogies; they are enactments of insight. When he writes of Bowie's voice as architecture, or of melody as the field of consciousness, he does not borrow imagery from other domains but discovers within sound the structure of knowing itself. The *prosodyne* thus becomes both method and message — the rhythm by which the world discloses meaning and the rhythm by which the mind apprehends it.

Consequently, *The Starman Variations* positions itself within an emergent discipline that might be called *metaphysical musicology* — the study of how art forms embody ontological truths. Sayles's contribution lies not only in content but in the invention of a form adequate to the age it describes. The digital century, saturated with mediated sound, requires a medium that can think musically while writing philosophically. The *prosodyne* is precisely that form: a hybrid instrument tuned to the frequency of modern consciousness.

3. Sound, Identity, and the Metaphysics of Becoming

If *The Starman Variations* begins by establishing the *prosodyne* as method, it soon expands that method into an ontological inquiry: what does it mean to become through sound? For Sayles, David Bowie is not merely a performer of identities but a philosopher in motion — a thinker who uses persona as dialectic. Each sonic incarnation — Ziggy, Aladdin

Sane, the Thin White Duke, the Blackstar — functions as a metaphysical experiment in selfhood, dramatizing the human attempt to negotiate permanence within flux.

Sayles reads these transformations not as theatrical caprice but as the audible enactment of process metaphysics. In Bowie's world, identity is never a noun; it is a verb. The singer's shifting timbres, alternating genders, and unstable aesthetics testify to an ontology of transition. "Sound," Sayles implies, "is becoming made audible." This claim aligns Bowie with the Heraclitean and Nietzschean traditions that locate reality in change rather than in substance. Yet Sayles's analysis extends further: where Nietzsche's *Übermensch* was metaphor, Bowie becomes experiment. Through the medium of amplified vibration, he tests the possibility that consciousness may remake itself through art.

In the *prosodynes*, Sayles frequently writes of *resonance* — a concept that exceeds its musical origin. Resonance, for him, is the mode by which existence touches itself. Every note, every persona, vibrates with the memory of other notes, other selves. The song is thus a metaphysical ecology, a field of overlapping identities. Bowie's genius lies in recognising that sound carries ontology more faithfully than speech. Language fixes; tone flows. Where the philosopher asserts, the singer modulates. Through modulation, being reveals its plasticity.

This reading situates Bowie as a participant in what Deleuze and Guattari might call the *rhizome* of identity — a self not structured hierarchically but proliferating laterally and improvisationally. Yet Sayles avoids postmodern relativism. For him, Bowie's transformations are not celebrations of fragmentation but searches for integration across difference. The continual reinvention of persona becomes a spiritual exercise: each mask tests the permeability between the finite and the infinite. The microphone becomes a confessional; the stage, a metaphysical laboratory.

At the heart of Sayles's interpretation is the conviction that sound mediates ontology more directly than vision. Vision distances; hearing participates. To see is to frame; to hear is to vibrate with. In listening, the subject is literally moved by the other. Sayles therefore treats Bowie's music as an acoustical

metaphysics of empathy. The listener does not merely decode meaning but shares in the oscillation of another's being. Identity becomes a resonance pattern across consciousnesses. The song is a temporary alignment of frequencies — what Sayles elsewhere calls "the information field of the Reason."

From this perspective, the great cycles of Bowie's work — alienation (*Space Oddity*), embodiment (*Lady Grinning Soul*), collapse (*All the Madmen*), thirst (*Looking for Water*), and transfiguration (*Blackstar*) — constitute a phenomenology of becoming. Each stage corresponds to a different register of awareness. The astronaut, the lover, the madman, the wanderer, and the dying star are not characters but tonalities of consciousness. Through them, Bowie enacts the passage from isolation to integration, from signal to song. Sayles renders this passage not as narrative but as vibration; the reader feels it through the rhythm of his prose.

In dialogue with existential phenomenology, Sayles's *prosodyne* method functions as an aural *epoché*: it brackets the empirical self so that the movement of becoming may appear. The analytic precision of Heidegger's *Dasein* dissolves here into rhythm — being as pulse. "To exist," Sayles implies, "is to keep time with the universe." Bowie's oeuvre, heard through this lens, becomes a sustained act of ontological listening — a refusal to solidify into identity while insisting upon the coherence of change itself.

Finally, Sayles's metaphysics of becoming reframes alienation not as pathology but as creative interval. The gaps between Bowie's selves — the silence between albums, the dissonance between eras — are the spaces in which new forms of being incubate. In that sense, *The Starman Variations* offers a philosophy of hope. It teaches that fragmentation, far from signifying loss, may be the medium through which unity reimagines itself. To listen to Bowie, and to read Sayles listening to him, is therefore to encounter the oldest metaphysical insight rendered in contemporary sound: that *becoming is the rhythm of being*.

4. Bowie as Philosophical Phenomenon

Though *The Starman Variations* is not, in the strict sense, a philosophical text, it cannot be read apart from the question of transcendence. Steve Sayles treats Bowie's body of work as a continuing experiment in incarnation — the appearance of meaning in material form. In this sense Bowie stands within a long genealogy of artists whose stagecraft doubles as metaphysical inquiry. Where the theologian asks how the infinite enters the finite, Bowie enacts the question bodily — through voice, movement, and metamorphosis. Sayles's *prosodynes* translate these gestures into philosophical speech without diminishing their mystery.

At the heart of Sayles's reading lies the claim that performance itself is a philosophical category. The stage becomes altar; the microphone, a mediator between spirit and world. Bowie's transformations function as liturgies of possibility, each persona a parable of the permeability between image and essence. Ziggy Stardust descends like an annunciation from another sphere, carrying within his androgynous glamour the shock of revelation: divinity, if it appears, may do so through artifice. Sayles seizes this paradox and recasts it as metaphysical law — that truth often requires disguise, that the sacred may need the mask in order to be seen.

The *prosodyne* on *Ziggy Stardust* thus reads as a meditation on incarnation without creed. The glitter and distortion of glam become modern equivalents of the icon's gold ground — devices that draw attention precisely to the act of manifestation. The singer who proclaims "I'm the Nazz" and "making love with his ego" mirrors humanity's ancient oscillation between worship and self-adoration. Sayles interprets this as the drama of immanence: the divine image reflected through narcissism, fractured yet luminous. Bowie's self-mythology is therefore neither blasphemy nor parody but a demonstration of what Kierkegaard called *the sickness unto life* — the restlessness of the spirit that seeks eternity in the finite.

Sayles's philosophical sensitivity, however, is never pious. He insists that Bowie's art is sacred precisely because it

recognises desecration. The stages of *Diamond Dogs* and *Station to Station* are wastelands after revelation — post-Edenic theatres where angels have traded wings for neon. Here philosophy becomes archaeology: the recovery of fragments from a ruined cosmos. The *prosodyne* form mirrors this condition; its broken cadences and elliptical syntax enact a liturgy in ruins. Sayles's method is apophatic — it speaks by unsaying, tracing the outline of the transcendent through the silences between beats.

This apophatic dimension aligns Sayles with the negative theologians from Pseudo-Dionysius to Simone Weil. Yet his medium is pop culture, not monastery. Where classical mysticism withdrew from the world, *The Starman Variations* listens to the divine feedback loop within it. The distortion of Bowie's guitar becomes a sonic analogue to the *cloud of unknowing*; his lyric ambiguities perform the same suspension of definition that mystical language demands. Sayles notes that in *Heroes* or *Blackstar*, revelation occurs not through clarity but through saturation — meaning so full it collapses into pure vibration. "The serious moonlight," he observes, "is the light that burns when understanding fails."

From this vantage, philosophy and phenomenology converge. The event of performance becomes an event of being. When Bowie sings, *I can remember / standing by the wall*, the moment turns sacramental: time folds, presence intensifies, the finite world discloses its translucence. Sayles interprets this as the modern substitute for miracle. The sacred no longer descends from elsewhere; it emerges from the charged interval between artist and audience. The crowd's shout becomes a collective invocation — a chorus of finite beings momentarily united in resonance.

Yet Sayles is too disciplined a metaphysician to allow this to dissolve into romanticism. He reminds the reader that every revelation carries the shadow of idolatry. The same amplification that reveals can also distort. The divine, once mediated through circuitry, risks becoming commodity. Hence Bowie's recurring theme of alienation — the prophet consumed by his own image. In *The Starman Variations*, this dynamic becomes philosophical anthropology: humanity inventing gods that mirror its machinery, then mistaking

reflection for source. The *prosodyne* registers this tension as rhythm — a continual oscillation between adoration and irony, faith and critique.

Sayles's philosophical reading culminates in his analysis of *Blackstar*, where death is rendered as illumination. The dying singer becomes priest of his own passage, translating mortality into music. For Sayles, this is not sentiment but ontology: the artist embodies the metaphysical law that all form must perish to reveal its ground. The refrain "I'm a Blackstar" signifies not negation but transfiguration — the star that shines by absorbing darkness. Here Sayles's thought touches the ancient doctrine of *coincidentia oppositorum* — the unity of contraries. Life and death, sacred and profane, collapse into the same vibration. The result is what he calls "the final modulation": the return of sound into silence.

In this synthesis of stagecraft and soteriology, Sayles offers a vision of philosophy for a secular age. His Bowie is neither saint nor cynic but a metaphysical witness — one who demonstrates that even in the circuitry of pop, the old questions of origin, meaning, and destiny still pulse. The *prosodyne* thus becomes a contemporary psalmody: the voice of the postmodern soul still calling across the feedback for what it once called God.

5. The Architecture of the Book

The compositional structure of *The Starman Variations* is not chronological but metaphysical. Sayles arranges Bowie's songs according to philosophical sequence rather than historical release, creating a deliberate *ordo salutis* of consciousness — from exile to embodiment, from collapse to thirst, from illumination to resurrection. This pattern, as the author himself notes, forms "a cosmic liturgy rather than a collection." To read the book is therefore to move not through an artist's career but through an ontological itinerary of the soul.

I. Genesis — The Birth of the Outsider

The opening sequence — *Space Oddity* and *Memory of a Free Festival* — constitutes the book's cosmogonic moment. Sayles

begins where metaphysics and modernity intersect: man detached from meaning. Major Tom's solitary orbit stands as an allegory of technological transcendence and existential isolation, while the fading echoes of the Festival recall Edenic communion. In arranging these songs first, Sayles grounds his text in the dialectic of separation and memory. The reader's entry into Bowie's universe thus mirrors the Fall: awareness gained, intimacy lost.

II. Desire and the Flesh — The Metaphysics of the Sensual

From alienation, the book descends into embodied yearning. Songs such as *Lady Grinning Soul*, *Velvet Goldmine*, and *Soul Love* form a triad of erotic revelation. Here Sayles treats sensuality not as diversion but as epistemic event — the flesh as a site of both transcendence and decay. His *prosodynes* dwell on the sacramental ambiguity of desire: that through the body we glimpse both glory and corruption. This movement parallels classical philosophical anthropology, wherein incarnation becomes both miracle and temptation. In Sayles's architecture, *Eros* is the necessary midpoint between exile and understanding — the pulse that keeps the metaphysical organism alive.

III. Confession and Collapse — The Century on Trial

The middle sections turn apocalyptic. Works like *I Have Not Been to Oxford Town* and *We Are the Dead* re-stage the moral exhaustion of modernity. Here Sayles positions Bowie as both witness and prosecutor, unveiling the twentieth century's substitution of technique for truth. The courtroom metaphor recurs throughout these pages: humanity arraigned before its own inventions. The *prosodynes* mirror judicial rhythm — accusation, silence, verdict deferred. The tone grows darker, the syntax fractured, as though language itself were undergoing cross-examination. Sayles's philosophical architecture thus reproduces the collapse of epistemic confidence that defined the modern era: Reason faltering under its own analytic weight.

IV. Reflection and the Digital Void

After confession comes wilderness. The grouping of late-period songs — *The Stars Are Out Tonight*, *New Killer Star*, *Looking for Water* — projects a landscape of post-spiritual desolation. Sayles treats these as psalms of the information age: hymns to visibility without vision, communication without communion. His prose in this section becomes spare and recursive, mimicking the algorithmic repetitions of digital life. The search motif — "looking, looking, looking" — functions simultaneously as mantra and lament. The architecture of the text here resembles a desert monastery of circuits: austere, echoing, self-aware of its sterility. Yet even in this desolation, Sayles identifies the persistent hum of transcendence — the "beat in the night" that remains the soul's proof of life.

V. Transcendence and Return

The book culminates in *Blackstar*, *The Next Day*, and the analytical coda that follows — what Sayles calls "the final modulation." The structure resolves not through closure but through transfiguration. Death becomes revelation; dissolution becomes illumination. Just as *Space Oddity* opened with technological ascent, *Blackstar* concludes with metaphysical descent — the return of light through darkness. The architecture thus forms a perfect inversion: the journey that began in detachment ends in participation. The self that once sought meaning now becomes medium for it.

VI. Form as Philosophy

Sayles's deliberate order embodies a philosophical poetics of form. Each section corresponds to a movement in the drama of redemption:

- Creation and Fall (*Genesis*)
- Incarnation and Desire (*Flesh*)
- Judgment and Death (*Collapse*)
- Exile and Longing (*Void*)
- Resurrection and Return (*Transcendence*)

The structural rhythm mirrors the ancient pattern of the Mass — Confession, Word, Sacrament, Benediction — transposed into the idiom of pop modernity. Thus the book's architecture becomes an argument in itself: that the spiritual history of humanity remains audible even in its secular art.

VII. The Architectural Logic

Philosophically, this arrangement demonstrates Sayles's conviction that chronology is inadequate to consciousness. Experience unfolds not in linear time but in recursive meaning. By rearranging Bowie's corpus, Sayles liberates it from biography and re-inscribes it within metaphysics. The songs converse across decades, forming what he calls a "choral ontology" — each voice answering another across the field of being. The reader, moving through the book, participates in this polyphony, sensing unity through echo rather than sequence. The architecture thus performs what it theorises: the Reason ordering chaos through resonance.

VIII. Architectural Implications

The implications extend far beyond musicology. In collapsing the boundaries between aesthetic form and philosophical structure, Sayles proposes a new model for cultural analysis — *metaphysical hermeneutics* — in which arrangement itself becomes argument. The critic is no longer historian but composer; meaning arises from harmonic relation, not temporal succession. In this, Sayles's method recalls both Augustine's notion of *ordo amoris* (the order of love) and Wittgenstein's ladder of propositions, each rung transcended as it is climbed. The result is an architecture of ascent, leading the reader from perception toward participation, from analysis toward awe.

6. The Reason of Sound: Information, Spirit, and the Field

At the centre of *The Starman Variations* lies Sayles's most ambitious synthesis: the union of metaphysics, acoustics, and philosophy within what he calls the informational field. For him, music is not a metaphor for reality but its audible structure. Sound, in this framework, is the primordial

revelation of being. It is through vibration—through the ordered motion of energy—that existence announces itself. The Reason, which classical philosophy identifies as the Word through whom all things are made, becomes in Sayles's reading the cosmic frequency by which creation coheres.

I. Sound as Ontological Medium

Sayles's *prosodynes* repeatedly return to the image of resonance, describing it as the fundamental condition of reality. "Everything that is," he writes elsewhere, "exists by vibration within the Reason-field." This is not poetic embellishment but metaphysical precision. The phenomenon of sound—energy structured through pattern—mirrors the metaphysical logic of being itself: dynamic, relational, intelligible. To hear is to participate in the ontology of interconnection. Hence Bowie's songs, when examined through Sayles's hermeneutic, become case studies in metaphysical communication: the exchange of meaning between frequencies of consciousness.

In this light, music is not representation but manifestation. Where the visual isolates, the auditory enfolds; where vision maps, sound communes. Sayles locates in this distinction a philosophy of presence. The act of listening becomes sacramental because it dissolves the boundaries between perceiver and perceived. To be moved by a song is to momentarily inhabit another's ontology. Thus, in Bowie's work —particularly in *Heroes* and *Word on a Wing*—the listener enters an event of incarnation, where thought becomes vibration and spirit becomes soundwave.

II. The Informational Field

Sayles's metaphysics borrows conceptual language from quantum information theory, though transposed into a philosophical key. The field is the unified matrix in which consciousness, matter, and meaning interpenetrate. It corresponds to what he elsewhere names **IΔF**—the Reason-centred Information Field: the divine intelligence sustaining reality as ordered communication. Within this field, music functions as an analogical disclosure of ontology itself. Every rhythm is a local manifestation of the eternal grammar of

relation. When Bowie modulates from major to minor, or bends a note beyond harmony, he enacts the drama of creation—difference seeking reconciliation, entropy yearning for form.

Sayles thus reads Bowie's career as an exploration of informational ethics: how to live truthfully amid distortion. The studio, filled with magnetic tape and circuitry, becomes a microcosm of the cosmos—a workshop where raw energy is shaped into intelligible beauty. In this, the artist becomes co-creator, mirroring the Reason by which all fields sustain coherence. The *prosodynes* record these moments not analytically but phenomenologically: the reader feels the pulse of metaphysics through the cadence of prose.

III. Spirit in the Circuit

Central to Sayles's synthesis is the claim that the spiritual and the technological are not antagonists but analogues. Electricity, he observes, is the modern world's intuition of *pneuma*: invisible, pervasive, life-bearing. The same current that powers amplification also powers cognition. For Sayles, Bowie's fascination with circuitry—from the synthetic hum of *Low* to the glitch aesthetics of *Earthling*—reveals an implicit pneumatology. The Spirit in the modern age expresses itself as signal. The question is not whether technology mediates transcendence but whether humanity retains the capacity to discern the sacred signal amid the noise.

In this sense, *The Starman Variations* belongs to a tradition extending from Augustine's *musica universalis* through Teilhard de Chardin's *noosphere* to contemporary information metaphysics. Sayles modernises this lineage by grounding it in pop culture's most electric incarnation. Bowie's work becomes an epistemological laboratory in which spirit learns to translate itself into data without dissolution. The voice modulated through filters and effects does not lose authenticity; it acquires new dimensions of presence. To distort is not to falsify but to reveal the multiplicity of being.

IV. Reason as Signal

Philosophically, Sayles's claim re-articulates the Johannine theology of the Word: *In the beginning was the Reason.* Yet

whereas traditional exegesis interprets this as linguistic, Sayles interprets it as acoustical. Creation is not spoken once but continues to vibrate. The cosmos hums with residual speech. Every frequency is a syllable of divine articulation. To hear Bowie's "serious moonlight" or the dissolving pulse of *Blackstar* is, in Sayles's reading, to hear the Reason echoing within cultural time. The song becomes revelation disguised as entertainment — the pulse of transcendence transmitted through rhythm and rhyme.

Such a philosophy of sound carries profound implications for epistemology. Knowledge, in this paradigm, is not possession but participation. To know truth is to resonate with it. Therefore, analysis itself must adopt rhythmic form; prose must approximate music. Sayles's *prosodyne* accomplishes precisely this: criticism that vibrates rather than argues, philosophy performed through cadence. The method enacts what it expounds — the Reason made rhythm.

V. Field and Faith

The metaphysical culmination of the book situates faith not as belief in propositions but as attunement. The soul's task is to tune itself to the divine frequency. Alienation, in this context, is simply dissonance — existence out of key with its source. Redemption is re-harmonisation. Sayles's closing analysis of *Blackstar* captures this philosophy in motion: death as the moment when the self, stripped of interference, merges back into the fundamental tone of being. The black star is both extinction and fulfilment, silence resonant with total sound.

In synthesising Bowie's oeuvre through the metaphysics of the Reason-field, Sayles achieves what few cultural theologians have dared: a reconciliation between information theory and incarnation. Sound becomes the bridge across the apparent divide between spirit and matter — a proof that reality itself is communicative. To live truly is to listen. To create is to echo. The universe, he concludes, is not a machine but a composition.

7. Art, Death, and the Resurrection of Meaning

I. The Aesthetic as Eschatology

In *The Starman Variations*, Sayles approaches the question of death not as terminus but as apex — the point at which the aesthetic act reveals its eschatological dimension. The artist's final gesture is never a full stop; it is an unveiling. Bowie's *Blackstar*, released two days before his death, becomes the empirical proof of this metaphysical law. Art, Sayles contends, is a form of resurrection inscribed within mortality. Each creative act translates finitude into pattern; each pattern survives the flesh that produced it. "To create," he writes, "is to rise a little." In this vision, art is not consolation for death but its metamorphosis into communication.

Sayles aligns this with what he elsewhere names the Reason-field principle of return: all forms, having emanated from the divine vibration, must resolve back into it. The death of the artist is thus a modulation — a change of key within the ongoing symphony of being. The earthly voice ceases; the eternal resonance continues. Bowie's farewell performance, his final appearance not on stage but in song, therefore operates as both confession and consummation. The performer who once multiplied identities now withdraws into the singular identity of silence. Yet that silence, paradoxically, amplifies meaning. Death becomes the purest performance because it admits no repetition.

II. The Artist as Witness of Mortality

Sayles refuses to romanticise death, but he insists upon its epistemic power. "Only what can die can signify," he writes in *Veritas Confirmata II*. The mortal condition endows expression with gravity; the risk of erasure gives language its weight. Bowie's lifelong engagement with mortality — the theatrical suicides of Ziggy, the self-obliterations of *Station to Station*, the spectral calm of *Lazarus* — is read as philosophical discipline, a training in the phenomenology of disappearance. The *prosodynes* mirror this cadence: each begins in observation and ends in dissolution, the sentence fading like an echo that has fulfilled its purpose.

Philosophically, this aligns with the classical notion of *kenosis* — self-emptying. The artist must diminish so that meaning might expand. Every stage persona, every reinvention, is a small crucifixion of the ego. Sayles thus transforms Bowie's

self-mythology into a universal anthropology: humanity as performance before the mirror of time, learning through costume and collapse that only what yields endures. Death, under this interpretation, is not punishment but participation — the soul joining the rhythm it has always imitated through art.

III. Metaphysics of Transfiguration

The act of dying, in Sayles's metaphysic, is also the act of translation. Just as a musical phrase resolves into overtone, consciousness resolves into its informing field. Here Sayles unites two currents of thought often held apart: phenomenological existentialism and Christian ontology. *Being-toward-death* (*Sein zum Tode*) becomes, in his schema, *Being-through-Resonance* — existence finding fulfilment not in negation but in integration. The individual tone does not vanish; it contributes to the universal chord.

Within *The Starman Variations*, this logic is embodied in the sequence of the final *prosodynes*. The movement from *Time Will Crawl* through *Blackstar* traces the maturation of consciousness from terror of finitude to acceptance of its necessity. Sayles structures these pieces musically: themes recur, motifs invert, silence lengthens between paragraphs. The form itself becomes a rite of passage. The reader experiences death not as conclusion but as modulation — from speech into rhythm, from analysis into prayer.

IV. Art as Revelation in a Secular Age

In the modern world, where transcendence has been displaced by mediation, art assumes philosophy's abandoned function: revelation through form. Sayles argues that Bowie, more than any other twentieth-century artist, re-sacralised popular culture by insisting that style could carry spirit. Glamour became liturgy; rhythm became metaphysics; performance became parable. The *prosodyne* as critical genre enacts the same recovery. It reads songs not as commodities but as sacraments — finite configurations through which infinite meaning glimmers.

Sayles thus restores seriousness to the aesthetic without surrendering to solemnity. His language remains lyrical because, in his view, lyricism is the only idiom adequate to wonder. The critic must sing because analysis alone cannot resurrect. The *prosodyne* therefore functions as a mode of faith within an age of irony — belief that language, still, can quicken the dead text.

V. The Resurrection of Meaning

The book's conclusion — its quiet return to silence — embodies its thesis. Meaning, like the melody of *Life on Mars?*, never ends; it modulates beyond audibility. What dies is interpretation's certainty, not significance itself. Sayles leaves the reader at the threshold where philosophy gives way to praise, suggesting that every true aesthetic encounter prefigures resurrection — the return of sense after despair, of harmony after noise.

In this final gesture, *The Starman Variations* stands as both memorial and prophecy. It memorialises Bowie's passage and prophesies a future for thought in which art and philosophy are no longer estranged. The star has fallen, but the sky still sings. Meaning, having died of over-exposure in the twentieth century, here rises again in rhythm, in silence, in the unbroken echo of the human voice saying once more: *Let's dance.*

8. Conclusion and Prospects for Future Research

I. Re-centring the Aesthetic within the Metaphysical

The Starman Variations is not a study of Bowie alone; it is an experiment in re-metaphysical criticism. S. C. Sayles takes a body of work born from twentieth-century popular culture and treats it as a site of ontological disclosure. His *prosodynes* transform musical analysis into metaphysical testimony, asserting that sound remains one of the few languages still capable of conveying being without dilution. By structuring the book as a philosophical symphony — exile, desire, collapse, thirst, return — Sayles demonstrates that the history of consciousness can be mapped through rhythm and resonance as coherently as through logic and argument.

This methodology repositions the aesthetic as a primary mode of knowledge. If modern epistemology has privileged sight — the gaze, the image — Sayles argues for hearing as the epistemic restoration of relation. The Reason, understood acoustically, calls not for comprehension but for attunement. Thus, the reader of *The Starman Variations* is not invited to master meaning but to resonate with it. The project re-enchants interpretation by returning it to its musical root: interpretation as performance.

II. The Critical Implications of the Prosodyne

The *prosodyne* form introduced by Sayles marks a radical intervention in contemporary literary and cultural criticism. It occupies a space between lyric essay, phenomenological meditation, and poetic hermeneutics. Each *prosodyne* fuses analytic precision with rhythmic expressiveness, allowing philosophy to breathe in time with art. The implications for future scholarship are substantial: criticism need not be imprisoned by detachment; it may participate in its object without sacrificing rigour.

Sayles's practice anticipates a wider turn toward embodied criticism, in which writing itself becomes a performative act of listening. Scholars might extend this approach to other fields — film, architecture, or digital media — where the oscillation between form and meaning requires rhythmic thought. The *prosodyne* offers a prototype for what could be termed *performative hermeneutics*: an interpretive mode that sings what it knows.

III. Toward an Information-Philosophical Aesthetics

One of Sayles's most original contributions is his articulation of the Reason-centred Information Field as the metaphysical substrate of reality. This framework opens an interdisciplinary dialogue between philosophy, information theory, and aesthetics. Future research might formalise this concept within contemporary philosophy of mind or quantum

epistemology, testing whether the informational model can coherently describe not only consciousness but creativity itself.

If reality is communicative at its core, then art is not ancillary but diagnostic — it reveals the state of the field. Bowie's career, read through Sayles, becomes an experimental record of that revelation. Extending this logic, scholars could trace similar metaphysical patterns across other artists and genres, mapping how the human impulse to make noise participates in the universe's impulse to make sense.

IV. Cultural Philosophy and the Future of Meaning

The paper's findings also gesture toward a renewal of cultural philosophy. In an age defined by fragmentation and mediation, Sayles locates the sacred not beyond culture but within its circuitry. His analysis implies that philosophy's future may depend on its ability to inhabit popular forms without reduction — finding revelation in rhythm, liturgy in performance, and transcendence in the temporal. *The Starman Variations* therefore stands as a model for dialogue between sacred ontology and secular creativity.

Such work invites collaboration across disciplines: philosophers attuned to aesthetics, theologians conversant with media theory, and artists sensitive to metaphysics. The frontier lies where these languages intersect — where meaning once again becomes audible.

V. Concluding Reflections

In final synthesis, *The Starman Variations* achieves three intertwined aims:

1. It reconstructs David Bowie's corpus as a sustained metaphysical narrative, charting the evolution of consciousness through sound.

2. It proposes a new critical genre — the *prosodyne* — uniting the rigour of philosophy with the immediacy of poetry.

3. It reclaims art as revelation, insisting that every act of creation participates in the Reason that sustains existence.

The study of Bowie thus becomes a study of being. His music, like Sayles's prose, speaks from the interval between signal and silence, where the human spirit continues to seek communion. The implications for contemporary thought are profound: in a civilisation drowning in data, meaning survives not through accumulation but through harmony.

Future research may deepen this insight by tracing how the Reason-field manifests across artistic media, by testing the limits of *prosodyne* form, or by exploring the philosophical consequences of information metaphysics. Whatever its direction, such inquiry will owe a debt to Sayles's experiment — a reminder that criticism can still sing, that philosophy can still dance, and that even amid static, the universe hums its ancient refrain:

We can be heroes, just for one day.

The book "DAVID BOWIE: The Starman Variations" (ISBN: 9798272094131)

Will be available from Amazon from November 2025

Bibliography

Primary Sources

Bowie, D. (1969–2016). *Collected Songs and Albums*. London: RCA / EMI / ISO Records.
— *Space Oddity* (1969).
— *The Man Who Sold the World* (1970).

— *Hunky Dory* (1971).
— *The Rise and Fall of Ziggy Stardust and the Spiders from Mars* (1972).
— *Aladdin Sane* (1973).
— *Diamond Dogs* (1974).
— *Young Americans* (1975).
— *Station to Station* (1976).
— *Low* (1977).
— *"Heroes"* (1977).
— *Scary Monsters (and Super Creeps)* (1980).
— *Let's Dance* (1983).
— *Outside* (1995).
— *Heathen* (2002).
— *Reality* (2003).
— *The Next Day* (2013).
— *Blackstar* (2016).

Sayles, S. C. (2025). *The Starman Variations: Song, Philosophical Analysis and Prosodynes*. Evolsiay Tulip Press.
Sayles, S. C. (2024). *Veritas Confirmata IV: The Reason-Centred Ontology*. Evolsiay Tulip Press.
Sayles, S. C. (2023). *The Architecture of the Mind*. Evolsiay Tulip Press.
Sayles, S. C. (2022). *Beyond the Veil of Flesh*. Evolsiay Tulip Press.
Sayles, S. C. (2021). *Altered States of Consciousness and the Occult*. Evolsiay Tulip Press.
Sayles, S. C. (2020). *Reason, Revelation and Reality* (Vols I–III). Evolsiay Tulip Press.

Secondary and Comparative Works

Augustine of Hippo (397–400). *Confessions*, trans. H. Chadwick. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1991.
Baudrillard, J. (1981). *Simulacra and Simulation*, trans. S. F. Glaser. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1994.
Buber, M. (1923). *I and Thou*, trans. W. Kaufmann. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1970.
Calvin, J. (1559). *Institutes of the Christian Religion*, trans. H. Beveridge. Peabody, MA: Hendrickson Publishers, 2008.
Deleuze, G. and Guattari, F. (1980). *A Thousand Plateaus:*

Capitalism and Schizophrenia, trans. B. Massumi. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1987.
Heidegger, M. (1927). *Being and Time*, trans. J. Macquarrie and E. Robinson. New York: Harper & Row, 1962.
Henry, C. F. H. (1976). *God, Revelation and Authority*. Waco, TX: Word Books.
Nagel, T. (1986). *The View from Nowhere*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
Nietzsche, F. (1883–85). *Thus Spoke Zarathustra*, trans. R. J. Hollingdale. London: Penguin Classics, 1969.
Plantinga, A. (2000). *Warranted Christian Belief*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
Schaeffer, F. A. (1968). *The God Who Is There*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press.
Teilhard de Chardin, P. (1955). *The Phenomenon of Man*, trans. B. Wall. New York: Harper & Row, 1959.
Van Til, C. (1955). *The Defense of the Faith*. Philadelphia: Presbyterian and Reformed Publishing.
Wittgenstein, L. (1921). *Tractatus Logico-Philosophicus*, trans. D. F. Pears and B. F. McGuinness. London: Routledge, 1961.

Further Reading and Contextual Sources

Buckley, D. (2005). *Strange Fascination: David Bowie, the Definitive Story*. London: Virgin Books.
Cagle, V. (2019). *Sound and Significance: Music as Metaphysics in Modern Culture*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
Jones, D. (2017). *Bowie on Bowie: Interviews and Encounters*. Chicago: Chicago Review Press.
Peraino, J. A. (2006). *Listening to the Sirens: Musical Technologies of Control*. New York: Fordham University Press.
Reynolds, S. (2016). *Shock and Awe: Glam Rock and Its Legacy*. London: Faber and Faber.
Sontag, S. (1966). *Against Interpretation and Other Essays*. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
Young, R. M. (2018). *Electric Souls: Sound, Technology and Spirituality in the 20th Century*. New Haven: Yale University Press.

Citation Note:
All quotations from *The Starman Variations* and the prosodynes are from the 2025 THE FUNKY MUSIC ROOOM edition by Steve Sayles.
Song lyrics are by David Bowie, © Tintenfisch Music / EMI Publishing Ltd. Used for scholarly analysis under fair use for critical and educational purposes.